arXiv:1610.07712v1 [math.NT] 25 Oct 2016

Distributions of nth Powers in Finite Fields

Aaron Doman

Abstract

In this paper, we first find the distribution of nth power residues modulo a prime p by analyzing sums involving Dirichlet characters. We then extend this method to characterize the distribution of powers in finite fields.

Let $n > 1$ be an integer. A *Dirichlet character mod* n is a homomorphism $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. In other words, χ is completely multiplicative and periodic mod n. It is conventional to treat χ as a function of integers and to set $\chi(a) = 0$ if $\gcd(a, n) > 1$ (preserving multiplicativity).

From Euler's theorem, it follows that if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(a)^{\varphi(n)} &= \chi(a^{\varphi(n)}) \\ &= \chi(1) \\ &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

so the nonzero values of χ are all $\varphi(n)$th roots of unity. The *principal character* is the character for which $\chi(a)$ is 1 if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and 0 otherwise, which we write as χ_1. Dirichlet characters have some nice orthogonality properties, including

$$\sum_{\substack{1\le a\le n\\ \gcd(a,n)=1}} \chi(a) = \begin{cases}\varphi(n) \text{ if } \chi = \chi_1 \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

as well as

$$\sum_{\chi} \chi(a) = \begin{cases}\varphi(n) \text{ if } a \equiv 1 \pmod n \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

where the sum is taken over all characters mod n.

Here, we will restrict ourselves to the case when n is an odd prime. Doing so not only makes computations simpler but also allows us to use the fact that there exists a primitive root modulo any prime p, which will be denoted g. Since g generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, it follows that any character mod p is completely determined by its value at g.

In the work that follows, we consider nth power residues modulo a prime p. Note that if $\gcd(n, p-1) = d$, then there are integers u, v such that $un + v(p-1) = d$, so $g^d = g^{un}$ is an nth power residue. Thus, the dth power residues are nth power residues, and vice versa. We therefore assume that $n \mid p-1$.

Before proving any results, we need a key lemma.

Lemma 1: Let χ be a non-principal Dirichlet character mod p. Then

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \chi(n) e^{2\pi n i/p}\right| = \sqrt{p}.$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{n=0}^{p-1}\chi(n)e^{2\pi ni/p}\right|^2 &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1}\chi(n)e^{2\pi ni/p}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{p-1}\overline{\chi(m)}e^{-2\pi mi/p}\right)\\ &= \sum_{0\le n,m\le p-1}\chi(n)\overline{\chi(m)}e^{2\pi(n-m)i/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Making the substitution $n = m + k$ gives

$$\sum_{0\le n,m\le p-1}\chi(n)\overline{\chi(m)}e^{2\pi(m-n)i/p} = \sum_{0\le k,m\le p-1}\chi(m+k)\overline{\chi(m)}e^{2\pi ki/p}.$$

Since $\chi(0) = 0$, we can let m be nonzero. This allows us to invert m, and so

$$\sum_{0\le k,m\le p-1}\chi(m+k)\overline{\chi(m)}e^{2\pi ki/p} = \sum_{0\le k\le p-1}\sum_{1\le m\le p-1}\chi(1+km^{-1})e^{2\pi ki/p}.$$

If $k = 0$, then $\chi(1 + km^{-1}) = 1$, so the inner sum is $p - 1$. Otherwise, as m varies, $1 + km^{-1}$ varies over all elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ except 1. The inner sum is therefore $-e^{2\pi ki/p}$ since $\chi \neq \chi_1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{n=0}^{p-1}\chi(n)e^{2\pi ni/p}\right|^2 &= p - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1}e^{2\pi ki/p}\\ &= p,\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. ■

We now give our first theorem relating Fourier series to the distribution of mth power residues. For brevity, we let R_m denote the set of mth power residues mod p between 1 and $p - 1$.

Theorem 1: Let $m > 1$ be an integer and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ be a prime. Let $f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function whose Fourier series converges pointwise to f on $(0, 1)$, say

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi nix}.$$

Suppose the sum

$$S(f) = \sum_{n\neq 0}|a_n|$$

converges. Then

$$\left|\sum_{k\in R_m} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)S(f)\sqrt{p}.$$

Proof: Let χ be a non-principal character mod p for which $\chi(g)$ is an mth root of unity. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\chi(k)f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n\left[\chi(1)e^{2\pi ni/p} + \chi(2)e^{4\pi ni/p} + \cdots + \chi(p-1)e^{(2p-2)\pi ni/p}\right].$$

Observe that the RHS can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n\overline{\chi(n)}\left[\chi(n)e^{2\pi ni/p} + \chi(2n)e^{4\pi ni/p} + \cdots + \chi(n(p-1))e^{(2p-2)\pi ni/p}\right],$$

since $\chi(n)\overline{\chi(n)} = 1$ unless $p \mid n$, in which case the summand is 0. This sum, in turn, is equal to

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n\overline{\chi(n)}\left[\chi(1)e^{2\pi i/p} + \chi(2)e^{4\pi i/p} + \cdots + \chi(p-1)e^{(2p-2)\pi i/p}\right].$$

The bracketed expression is precisely the Gauss sum from Lemma 1, so taking absolute values gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\chi(k)f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| &= \sqrt{p}\left|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n\overline{\chi(n)}\right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{p}\sum_{n\neq 0}|a_n| \\ &= S(f)\sqrt{p}.\end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\chi(k)f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \leq S(f)\sqrt{p}.$$

Summing over all non-principal χ for which $\chi(g)^m = 1$, we get

$$\sum_{\chi\neq 1}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\chi(k)f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \leq (m-1)S(f)\sqrt{p}.$$

Again by the triangle inequality,

$$\left|\sum_{\chi\neq 1}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\chi(k)f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \leq (m-1)S(f)\sqrt{p}$$

$$\left|m\sum_{k\in R_m} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \leq (m-1)S(f)\sqrt{p},$$

where in the second line we used the fact that summing over all χ for which $\chi(g)^m = 1$ gives each mth power residue weight m and each nonresidue weight 0. Dividing both sides of the inequality by m, we have the desired result. ■

We will now use Theorem 2 to prove another result that says that the mth power residues are randomly distributed, roughly speaking. We require the following lemmas.

Lemma 2: Let t, x be real numbers with $0 < t \leq 1$ and $0 < x < 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n\sin 2\pi nx}{n} = \arctan\left(\frac{t\sin 2\pi x}{1-t\cos 2\pi x}\right).$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \sin 2\pi nx}{n} &= \text{Im}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n e^{2\pi nix}}{n}\right) \\ &= -\text{Im}[\log(1 - te^{2\pi ix})] \\ &= \arctan\left(\frac{t \sin 2\pi x}{1 - t\cos 2\pi x}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The last step requires some care in choosing the branch of $\log z$, but it suffices to check that equality holds for a single pair (t, x). ■

Lemma 3: Let δ, t be positive numbers with $\delta \leq 1/3$ and $t \leq 1/(1 + 3\delta^2)$. Then

$$\frac{1 - t\cos 2\pi\delta}{t \sin 2\pi\delta} - \pi\delta \leq \frac{\pi}{2\delta}\left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right).$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1 - t\cos 2\pi\delta}{t \sin 2\pi\delta} - \pi\delta &= \left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right)\csc 2\pi\delta + \csc 2\pi\delta - \cot 2\pi\delta - \pi\delta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right)\csc 2\pi\delta + (\tan \pi\delta - \pi\delta).\end{aligned}$$

Now both $x \csc 2\pi x$ and $(\tan \pi x)/x$ are increasing on $(0, 1/3)$, so we have

$$\csc 2\pi\delta \leq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}\delta}, \tan \pi\delta \leq 3\sqrt{3}\delta.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}\delta}\left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right) + (3\sqrt{3} - \pi)\delta \leq \frac{\pi}{2\delta}\left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right),$$

which after rearranging becomes

$$\frac{1}{t} - 1 \geq \frac{(3\sqrt{3} - \pi)\delta^2}{\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}}.$$

The RHS is less than $3\delta^2$, so taking $t \leq 1/(1 + 3\delta^2)$ is sufficient and we are done.

Theorem 2: Let $m > 1$ be an integer and C be a constant greater than $\frac{3}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)$. Then for all sufficiently large primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod m$, the number of mth power residues in any interval $(a, b) \subset (0, p)$ is within $C\sqrt{p}\log p$ of $(b - a)/m$.

Proof: Take a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod m$ and let $\alpha = a/p, \beta = b/p$. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \alpha < x < \beta \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{ if } x = \alpha \text{ or } x = \beta \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that

$$\left|\sum_{k \in R_m} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|$$

is the difference between the number of mth power residues in (a, b) and $(b-a)/m$, up to some small constant. To bound this quantity, we examine the Fourier series of f. It is straightforward to find that

$$f(x) = (\beta - \alpha) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi n(x-\alpha)) - \sin(2\pi n(x-\beta))}{n}.$$

This series converges pointwise to f, but

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} |a_n| = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|e^{-2\pi n i \alpha} - e^{-2\pi n i \beta}|}{2\pi |n|},$$

which may diverge. Thus, we cannot directly apply Theorem 2 and instead must approximate f; this will give us the error bound of $O(\sqrt{p} \log p)$.

Consider the functions

$$f_t(x) = (\beta - \alpha) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \frac{\sin(2\pi n(x-\alpha)) - \sin(2\pi n(x-\beta))}{n}$$

for $0 < t < 1$. All these functions satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2, so

$$\left| \sum_{k \in R_m} f_t\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} f_t\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \right| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) S(f_t) \sqrt{p}.$$

If we can make $|f(x) - f_t(x)|$ small by taking t near 1, then we can obtain a similar bound for f. We therefore need to determine the rate at which f_t converges to f.

Let

$$g_t(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi n x}{n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \sin 2\pi n x}{n},$$

where x is in some interval $[\delta, 1-\delta]$ to avoid the discontinuities at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. Differentiating with respect to x yields

$$\begin{aligned} g_t'(x) &= -\pi - \frac{2\pi(t \cos 2\pi x - t^2)}{1 - 2t \cos 2\pi x + t^2} \\ &= \frac{-\pi(1-t^2)}{1 - 2t \cos 2\pi x + t^2} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2. Since $t < 1$, g_t' is negative and so the extreme values of g_t occur at the endpoints of the interval considered. Furthermore, $g_t(1-\delta) = -g_t(\delta)$, so

$$|g_t(x)| \leq |g_t(\delta)| = \left| \frac{\pi - 2\pi\delta}{2} - \arctan\left(\frac{t \sin 2\pi\delta}{1 - t \cos 2\pi\delta}\right) \right|, \tag{1}$$

again by Lemma 2. From $0 < \delta < 1/2$ it follows that

$$\frac{t \sin 2\pi\delta}{1 - t \cos 2\pi\delta} > 0.$$

Thus, we can combine the $\pi/2$ and arctangent terms to get

$$\left| \arctan\left(\frac{1 - t \cos 2\pi\delta}{t \sin 2\pi\delta}\right) - \pi\delta \right|$$

for the bound on $|g_t|$. We remove the absolute value bars and use Laurent series to get

$$\begin{aligned}|g_t(x)| &\le \arctan\left(\frac{1-t\cos 2\pi\delta}{t\sin 2\pi\delta}\right) - \pi\delta \\ &\le \frac{1-t\cos 2\pi\delta}{t\sin 2\pi\delta} - \pi\delta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right)\cdot\frac{1}{2\pi\delta} + O(\delta).\end{aligned}$$

By increasing the constant $1/(2\pi)$ to $\pi/2$, we can ignore the higher-order terms for δ sufficiently small (depending on t).

By Lemma 3, if $\delta \le 1/3$ and $t \le 1/(1+3\delta^2)$, then

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sin 2\pi nx}{n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n\sin 2\pi nx}{n}\right| \le \frac{\pi}{2\delta}\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right)$$

for $\delta \le x \le 1-\delta$. We can then bound $|f_t(x) - f(x)|$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x)-f_t(x)| &= \frac{1}{\pi}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1-t^n)[\sin(2\pi n(x-\alpha)) - \sin(2\pi n(x-\beta))]}{n}\right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{\pi}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1-t^n)\sin(2\pi n(x-\alpha))}{n}\right| + \frac{1}{\pi}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1-t^n)\sin(2\pi n(x-\beta))}{n}\right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{\delta}\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right),\end{aligned}$$

where δ is chosen so that x is at least a distance δ from the discontinuities at α and β. Since we care only about $x = 1/p, 2/p, \ldots, (p-1)/p$, the optimal δ is

$$\delta = \min_{1\le k\le p-1}\min\left\{\left|\frac{k}{p}-\alpha\right|, \left|\frac{k}{p}-\beta\right|\right\}.$$

We can do far better, however, by setting aside the two multiples of $1/p$ nearest to α and similarly for β (if α or β is a multiple of $1/p$, it does not matter which of the neighboring points we choose). Ignoring these four values of k/p will increase our error bound by some small quantity. On the other hand, we can now safely take $\delta = 1/p$ since the remaining values of k/p are more than $1/p$ away from α and β. Then for p sufficiently large,

$$\left|f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) - f_t\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \le p\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right)$$

for all but the four special values of k, which we handle separately. From (1), it follows that $|g_t(x)| \le \pi/2$ for any t, x, so

$$|f(x)-f_t(x)| \le \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 1.$$

Letting

$$\epsilon = p\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right),$$

we therefore have

$$\left| f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) - f_t\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \leq \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \frac{k}{p} \text{ is one of four nearest to } \alpha, \beta \\ \epsilon \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then by Theorem 2 applied to f_t and the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \sum_{k \in R_m} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) S(f_t)\sqrt{p} + \frac{2p-2}{m}\epsilon + 4,$$

and we wish to choose t so that the size of the the RHS is minimal. We take $\epsilon = 1/\sqrt{p}$ so that the second and third terms are negligible compared to the first. This corresponds to

$$t = \frac{1}{1 + p^{-3/2}}.$$

The conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied since $\delta = 1/p \leq 1/3$ and $t < 1/(1 + 3\delta^2)$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(f_t) &= \sum_{n \neq 0} t^{|n|} \frac{|e^{-2\pi n i \alpha} - e^{-2\pi n i \beta}|}{2\pi |n|} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n} \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \log(1-t) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \log(p^{3/2} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the dominant term in the bound is at most

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)\sqrt{p}\log(p^{3/2}+1).$$

Finally, we observe that the lower bound on p needed to get these estimates depends on C, but not on α or β. The result immediately follows. ■

Theorem 2 is, up to a constant, a consequence of the Polya-Vinogradov inequality [1]. Rather than looking at power residues in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ over an interval, we will now examine the distribution of power residues in a field extension over a higher-dimensional box. In the work that follows, we write $\mathbb{F}_p$ in lieu of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ to emphasize that we are working with field extensions. Here, R_m will denote the set of mth powers in the chosen finite field.

Theorem 3: Fix integers $d, m > 1$ and let p be a prime for which $m \mid p^d - 1$. Choose a polynomial of degree d with integer coefficients that is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_p$, and let ξ be one of its roots. It follows that $\mathbb{F}_p(\xi)$ is a field, and every element can be written uniquely in the form

$$c_0 + c_1\xi + c_2\xi^2 + \cdots + c_{d-1}\xi^{d-1},$$

where the c_i's are in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then for any d-dimensional box

$$R = [a_0, b_0] \times [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_{d-1}, b_{d-1}] \subset (0, p)^d,$$

the number of mth powers in $\mathbb{F}_p(\xi)$ with $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{d-1}) \in R$ is, up to a small error,

$$\frac{(\lfloor b_0 \rfloor - \lceil a_0 \rceil)(\lfloor b_1 \rfloor - \lceil a_1 \rceil) \cdots (\lfloor b_{d-1} \rfloor - \lceil a_{d-1} \rceil)}{m}.$$

This error is bounded in absolute value by

$$\left[\frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{p}\log(3p^d+1)\right]^d + \frac{2d}{m}p^{d/2}.$$

Proof: First note that since ξ is a root of a polynomial that is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_p$, the extension $\mathbb{F}_p(\xi)$ is indeed a field. Call this field F. The extension is of degree d, so each element can be written uniquely as a linear combination of $1, \xi, \xi^2, \ldots, \xi^{d-1}$. We now need to prove the claim about the distribution of the mth powers.

Since F is a finite field, its group of units is cyclic; let g be a generator of this group. Since $m \mid p^d - 1$, the nonzero mth powers in the field are precisely the powers of g^m. We define a character χ to be a multiplicative function from F to $\mathbb{C}^\times$, which is completely determined by its value at g. As before, we will count the mth powers via these characters.

Next, observe that we can move the vertices of R slightly without changing the number of lattice points inside it. We can replace a_i with $\lceil a_i \rceil - 1/2$ and b_i with $\lfloor b_i \rfloor + 1/2$, and this does not alter the number of mth powers in the box or the main term in the estimate. Thus, without loss of generality, we suppose the a_i's and b_i's are half-integers. Let $\alpha_j = a_j/p$, $\beta_j = b_j/p$, and

$$R' = [\alpha_0, \beta_0] \times \cdots \times [\alpha_{d-1}, \beta_{d-1}].$$

Now that R has been scaled down by a factor of p, we let $1_{R'}$ be the indicator function of R'. We have

$$1_{R'}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} 1_{[\alpha_j, \beta_j]}(x_j),$$

and each term on the RHS has a Fourier series that converges pointwise to the function except at α_j and β_j. Let $f(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ be the Fourier series of $1_{R'}$ and g_j be the Fourier series of $1_{[\alpha_j, \beta_j]}$. We also introduce families of functions $g_{j,t}$, whose Fourier coefficients are those of g_j weighted by $t^{|n|}$. Similarly, f_t is a weighted version of f, defined to be the product of the $g_{j,t}$'s. Thus, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &f_t(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) \\ &= \sum_{n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_{d-1}} a_{0,n_0} a_{1,n_1} \cdots a_{d-1,n_{d-1}} \exp[2\pi i(n_0 x_0 + n_1 x_1 + \cdots + n_{d-1} x_{d-1})], \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ is the coefficient of $e^{2\pi k i x}$ in the Fourier series of $g_{j,t}$.

To count the mth powers, we first need to bound

$$\sum_{0 \le c_0, c_1 \ldots, c_{d-1} \le p-1} \chi(c_0 + c_1\xi + \cdots + c_{d-1}\xi^{d-1}) \zeta^{n_0 c_0 + n_1 c_1 + \cdots + n_{d-1} c_{d-1}},$$

where χ is a non-principal character, ζ is a primitive pth root of unity, and the n_i's are arbitrary integers. Let

$$\psi(c_0 + c_1\xi + \cdots + c_{d-1}\xi^{d-1}) = \zeta^{n_0 c_0 + n_1 c_1 + \cdots + n_{d-1} c_{d-1}},$$

which, not coincidentally, is a homomorphism from $(F,+)$ to $\mathbb{C}^\times$. We wish to prove that

$$\left|\sum_{z\in F}\chi(z)\psi(z)\right| = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } p \mid n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_{d-1} \\ p^{d/2} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The first case is easy to check, since then $\psi(z)$ is always 1. Otherwise, we write

$$\left|\sum_{z\in F}\chi(z)\psi(z)\right|^2 = \sum_{z,w\in F}\chi(z)\psi(z)\overline{\chi(w)\psi(w)}.$$

Now make the substitution $z = w + u$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{z,w\in F}\chi(z)\psi(z)\overline{\chi(w)\psi(w)} &= \sum_{u,w\in F}\chi(w+u)\overline{\chi(w)}\psi(w+u)\overline{\psi(w)} \\ &= \sum_{u\in F}\sum_{w\in F^\times}\chi(1+uw^{-1})\psi(u).\end{aligned}$$

If $u = 0$, then $1 + uw^{-1} = 1$ for all w. Otherwise, for fixed nonzero u, $1 + uw^{-1}$ varies over all elements of the field except 1. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u\in F}\sum_{w\in F^\times}\chi(1+uw^{-1})\psi(u) &= (p^d - 1) - \sum_{u\in F^\times}\psi(u) \\ &= p^d - \sum_{u\in F}\psi(u),\end{aligned}$$

and it is straightforward to check that the sum on the RHS is zero when ψ is not identically 1. This proves the claim.

From this bound and equation (2), we immediately get that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\sum_{0\le c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_{d-1}\le p-1}\chi(c_0 + c_1\xi + \cdots + c_{d-1}\xi^{d-1})f_t\left(\frac{c_0}{p},\frac{c_1}{p},\ldots,\frac{c_{d-1}}{p}\right)\right| \\ &\qquad\le p^{d/2}\left|\sum_{n_0,n_1,\ldots,n_{d-1}\neq 0} a_{0,n_0}a_{1,n_1}\cdots a_{d-1,n_{d-1}}\right| \\ &\qquad= p^{d/2}\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}\sum_{n_j\neq 0}|a_{j,n_j}|,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we split the sum into a product and used the triangle inequality. Summing over those non-principal χ for which $\chi(g)^m = 1$ and dividing through by m, we get

$$\left|\sum_{z\in R_m} f_t\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{z\in F} f_t\left(\frac{z}{p}\right)\right| \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)p^{d/2}\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}\sum_{n_j\neq 0}|a_{j,n_j}|,$$

where z/p denotes the point $(c_0/p, c_1/p, \ldots, c_{d-1}/p)$. For brevity, we let

$$S(g_{j,t}) = \sum_{n_j\neq 0}|a_{j,n_j}|.$$

Then the above inequality becomes

$$\left| \sum_{z \in R_m} f_t\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{z \in F} f_t\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \right| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) p^{d/2} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} S(g_{j,t}). \tag{3}$$

Suppose we choose t so that $|g_{j,t}(x) - g_j(x)| \leq \epsilon$ for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and all $j = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1$. Using the triangle inequality and the fact that $|g_{j,t}(x)| \leq 1$ for all j, t, x, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_t(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) - f(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})| &= \left| \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} g_{j,t}(x_j) - \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} g_j(x_j) \right| \\ &\leq d\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then when we approximate f with f_t on the $p^d - 1$ points in question, we will get an error of order $p^d\epsilon$. We therefore take $\epsilon = p^{-d/2}$ so that this term is negligible compared to the other terms in the bound. We use Lemma 3 to find sufficient conditions for

$$|g_{j,t}(x) - g_j(x)| \leq p^{-d/2}$$

to hold for x in some interval. Taking

$$\delta = \frac{p^{-d/2}}{3}, \; t = \frac{1}{1 + 3\delta^2} = \frac{1}{1 + p^{-d}/3},$$

the conditions of the lemma hold and so

$$|g_{j,t}(x) - g_j(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right) = p^{-d/2},$$

where x is at least δ away from the discontinuities of g_j.

Since the vertices of R were taken to have half-integer coordinates, every lattice point $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{d-1})$ satisfies $|c_j - a_j| \geq 1/2$ and $|c_j - b_j| \geq 1/2$ for all j. But $\delta < 1/(2p)$, so when we rescale by $1/p$, we get

$$\left| \frac{c_j}{p} - \alpha_j \right|, \left| \frac{c_j}{p} - \beta_j \right| > \delta$$

for all j. In other words, c_j/p is at least δ away from the discontinuities of g_j and so

$$\left| f_t\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) - f\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \right| \leq dp^{-d/2}$$

for all $z \in F$.

We now combine all this information to get the desired result. For the above choice of t, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(g_{j,t}) &= \sum_{n \neq 0} t^{|n|} \left| \frac{e^{-2\pi n i \alpha_j} - e^{-2\pi n i \beta_j}}{2\pi n i} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{t^{|n|}}{|n|} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \log(3p^d + 1). \end{aligned}$$

From (3), it then follows that

$$\left| \sum_{z \in R_m} f_t\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{z \in F} f_t\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \right| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) p^{d/2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \log(3p^d + 1)\right)^d.$$

This, of course, can be weakened slightly by removing the factor of $1 - 1/m$, and then we get the first term in the error bound from the theorem.

We have chosen t so that $|f_t - f| \leq dp^{-d/2}$ for the points in question, so we have

$$\left| \sum_{z \in R_m} f\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{z \in F} f\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \right| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{p} \log(3p^d + 1)\right)^d + \frac{2(p^d - 1)}{m} \cdot dp^{-d/2}.$$

This can be weakened slightly to give the cleaner bound

$$\left| \sum_{z \in R_m} f\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{z \in F} f\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \right| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{p} \log(3p^d + 1)\right)^d + \frac{2d}{m} p^{d/2}.$$

This is precisely the result we sought, since $f = 1_{R'}$ except on the boundary of R', but $\partial R'$ does not contain any of the rescaled lattice points. This concludes the proof. ■

References

[1] C. Pomerance, *Remarks on the Pólya-Vinogradov Inequality*, *Integers* (Proceedings of the Integers Conference, October 2009), 11A (2011), Article 19, 11pp.